arXiv:1611.06393v1 [math.GR] 19 Nov 2016

THE RELATIVE EXPONENTIAL GROWTH RATE OF SUBGROUPS OF ACYLINDRICALLY HYPERBOLIC GROUPS

EDUARD SCHESLER

Abstract. In this paper we prove that the relative exponential growth rate of any subgroup H of a finitely generated group G exists with respect to every finite generating set of G if H contains a generalized loxodromic element. We will prove that the relative exponential growth rate of any subexponentially distorted embedding of an acylindrically hyperbolic group H in a finitely generated group G exists with respect to every finite generating set of G. We introduce a new invariant of finitely generated groups, the ambiguity function, and we show that every finitely generated acylindrically hyperbolic group G possesses a linearly bounded ambiguity function. We also extend these results to the case of direct products of finitely generated acylindrically hyperbolic groups.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Weak Supermultiplicativity 3
3. Hyperbolic spaces 7
4. Acylindrically hyperbolic groups 8
5. Existence of the growth rate 9
6. The case of products 14
References 18

1. Introduction

Given a finitely generated group G and a finite symmetric generating set X of G, the *growth function* $\beta_G^X : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ of G with respect to X is defined by $\beta_G^X(n) = |B_G^X(n)|$, where $B_G^X(n)$ denotes the set of all elements of G which are represented by words of length $\leqslant n$ in the generators of X. By definition, G has *exponential growth* if there is a constant $a > 1$ such that $\beta_G^X(n) > a^n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using the submultiplicativity

$$\beta_G^X(m+n) \leqslant \beta_G^X(m)\beta_G^X(n),$$

Milnor proved in [M$^+$68] that the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} \beta_G^X(n)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ exists for every finitely generated group G and every finite generating set X of G. Thus, if this limit a is larger than 1, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a number $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n \geqslant N$ we have

$$(a-\varepsilon)^n \leqslant \beta_G^X(n) \leqslant (a+\varepsilon)^n. \tag{1.1}$$

Date: NOVEMBER 19, 2016.

This justifies the notion of exponential growth. In this paper we study the following relative analogue of the growth function.

Definition 1.1. Let G be a finitely generated group with a finite generating set X and let $H \leqslant G$ be an arbitrary subgroup of G. Then

$$B_H^X(n) = \{h \in H : |h|_X \leqslant n\} \tag{1.2}$$

denotes the d_X-ball of radius $n \in \mathbb{N}$ inside of H. We write $\beta_H^X(n) = |B_H^X(n)|$ for the cardinality of this ball. The function $\beta_H^X : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is called the *relative growth function of H in G with respect to X*.

Again we can ask for the existence of the limit of the sequence $(\beta_H^X(n)^{\frac{1}{n}})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. If the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} \beta_H^X(n)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ exists we will say that the *relative exponential growth rate* of H in G exists with respect to X. Grigorchuk [Gri80] proved that the relative exponential growth rate of every normal subgroup of a finitely generated free group F exists with respect to a free generating set of F. This result was extended by Olshanski [Ols13, Theorem 1.5] by showing that the relative exponential growth rate of any subgroup of a finitely generated free group F exists with respect to a free generating set of F. Further Olshanski [Ols13, Remark 3.1] pointed out that the relative exponential growth rate does not exist in general. He showed that there is a finitely generated solvable group G and an infinite cyclic subgroup $H \leqslant G$ such that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\beta_H^X(n)}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} = 0 \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{n\to\infty} \beta_H^X(n)^{\frac{1}{n}} > 1. \tag{1.3}$$

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 5.9. *Let G be a finitely generated group and $H \leqslant G$ a subgroup of G. If H contains a generalized loxodromic element $h \in H$, then the relative exponential growth rate of H in G exists with respect to every finite generating set of G.*

In Section 2 we introduce a new invariant of a finitely generated group, the ambiguity function, that seems to be useful in studying of the relative exponential growth rate. We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5.10. *Let G be a finitely generated acylindrically hyperbolic group. Then G possesses a linearly bounded ambiguity function with respect to every finite generating set of G.*

We deduce from this theorem the following result.

Theorem 5.11. *Let H be a finitely generated acylindrically hyperbolic group. Let $\iota : H \to G$ be a subexponentially distorted embedding in a finitely generated group G. Then the relative exponential growth rate of H in G exists with respect to every finite generating set of G.*

In Section 6 we give an extension of Theorem 5.10 to direct products of acylindrically hyperbolic groups.

Theorem 6.6. *Let $G = \prod_{i=1}^{m} G_i$ be a finite direct product of finitely generated acylindrically hyperbolic groups G_i and let H be a subgroup of G. Suppose that, for each $i = 1, \dots, m$, the image of the canonical projection of H to G_i contains a generalized loxodromic in G_i. Then the relative exponential growth rate of H in G exists with respect to every finite generating set X of G.*

2. Weak Supermultiplicativity

In this section we give analytical tools which will be used later in the proof of existence of the relative exponential growth rate. The distortion function of a subgroup, recalled in Definition 2.2, is a well known invariant of a finitely generated subgroup. In Definition 2.3, we introduce the ambiguity function of a finitely generated group with respect to a finite generating set. In Corollaries 2.12 and 2.13 we give a sufficient condition for the existence of the relative exponential growth rate in terms of the distortion and the ambiguity functions of a subgroup.

Definition 2.1. A function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is called *sublinear*, if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n} = 0$ and *subexponential*, if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(n)}{a^n} = 0$ for every $a > 1$.

Definition 2.2. Let G be a finitely generated group with a finite generating set X. Let H be a finitely generated subgroup of G with a finite generating set Y. The *distortion function* of H in G with respect to X and Y is defined by

$$\Delta_H^G : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N},\ n \mapsto \max\{|h|_Y : h \in H,\ |h|_X \leqslant n\}. \tag{2.1}$$

The subgroup H is called linearly, polynomially, or subexponentially distorted in G, if $\Delta_H^G(n)$ is bounded, respectively, by a linear, polynomial, or subexponential function. A linearly distorted subgroup H of G is also said to be an undistorted subgroup of G.

It is easy to see that the property of a subgroup $H \leqslant G$ to be linearly, polynomially, or subexponentially distorted in G does not depend on a choice of finite generating sets of H and G.

The following maps (indexed by $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$) are important in studying of exponential growth rates:

$$\Phi_{m,n} : B_G^X(m) \times B_G^X(n) \to B_G^X(m+n), \qquad (u, v) \mapsto uv.$$

The main difficulty is that, given natural numbers $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and an element $w \in B_G^X(m+n)$, there may be many different representations of the form $w = uv$ with $u \in B_G^X(m)$ and $v \in B_G^X(n)$. It would be useful to give a subexponential upper bound on the cardinality of the preimage $\Phi_{m,n}^{-1}(w)$ in terms of n only, i.e., independent of m and w. However, such a bound does not exist even in the case of free groups F_k with basis X. Indeed, consider the preimage of the identity $1 \in B_{F_k}^X(m+n)$. Then $\Phi_{m,n}^{-1}(1) = \{(u, u^{-1}) \in B_{F_k}^X(m) \times B_{F_k}^X(n) : |u|_X \leqslant m, n\}$ and thus $|\Phi_{2n,n}^{-1}(1)| = |B_{F_k}^X(n)| = 2k(2k-1)^{n-1}$ has exponential growth with respect to n. This also shows that we cannot guarantee that $|uv|_X$ is approximately $|u|_X + |v|_X$.

In [Ols13, Theorem 1.5] Olshanski considers the free group case and suggests to modify the map $\Phi_{m,n}$ by insertion a word from a ball $B_{F_k}^X(c)$ between u and v. In the following definition we promote this idea in the general case.

Definition 2.3. Let G be a finitely generated group with a finite generating set X. A function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is an *ambiguity function* of G with respect to X, if there is a finite subset $M \subset G$ and a map of the form

$$\Phi : G \times G \to G, \quad (u, v) \mapsto u g_{u,v} v$$

with $g_{u,v} \in M$, which, with notation below, satisfies the following property $(*)$:

Denote $c := \max_{g \in M} |g|_X$, and, for every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the restriction:

$$\Phi_{m,n} : B_G^X(m) \times B_G^X(n) \to B_G^X(m + n + c), \quad (u, v) \mapsto \Phi((u, v)).$$

Property $(*)$: For every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $z \in B_G^X(m + n + c)$, we have

$$|\Phi_{m,n}^{-1}(z)| \leqslant f(n).$$

The set M is called the set of *connecting pieces* and the map Φ is called the *concatenation map* corresponding to f.

Remark 2.4. It is easily seen that, up to equivalence, an ambiguity function of a group G does not depend on the choice of the finite generating set of G. Indeed, let f be an ambiguity function of G with respect to a finite generating set X of G. Let Φ be the concatenation map and M the set of connecting pieces corresponding to f. Let $c := \max_{g \in M} |g|_X$. For an arbitrary finite generating set Y of G we define $p = \max_{y \in Y} |y|_X$. Then the inclusion

$$B_G^Y(m) \times B_G^Y(n) \subset B_G^X(pm) \times B_G^X(pn)$$

is well defined and by definition the preimage of

$$\Phi_{pm,pn} : B_G^X(pm) \times B_G^X(pn) \to B_G^X(pm + pn + c)$$

is bounded by $f(pn)$. Thus the preimage of the restriction of $\Phi_{pm,pn}$ to $B_G^Y(m) \times B_G^Y(n)$ is also bounded by $f(pn)$. Therefore the function $g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}, \ n \mapsto f(pn)$ is an ambiguity function of G with respect to Y.

We use the following simple remarks.

Remark 2.5. Let X and Y be two sets and $f : X \to Y$ a function such that the cardinality of every preimage $f^{-1}(y)$ with $y \in Y$ is uniformly bounded by a number $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have $|X| \leqslant n \cdot |Y|$.

Remark 2.6. Let $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be a subexponential function and let $g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be a polynomially bounded function. Then the composition $g \circ f$ is subexponential.

Remark 2.7. Let $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be a linearly bounded function and let $g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be a subexponential function. Then the composition $g \circ f$ is subexponential.

Proposition 2.8. *Let G be a finitely generated group with a finite generating set X and let $H \leqslant G$ be a finitely generated, subexponentially distorted subgroup with a finite generating set Y. If H possesses a polynomially bounded ambiguity function with respect to Y, then there is a subexponential function $\varepsilon : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ and a constant $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\beta_H^X(m)\beta_H^X(n) \leqslant \varepsilon(n) \cdot \beta_H^X(m + n + c) \quad \textit{for all } m, n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. Let f be a subexponential distortion function of H in G with respect to X and Y. Then the trivial inclusion

$$\iota : B_H^X(m) \times B_H^X(n) \to B_H^Y(f(m)) \times B_H^Y(f(n)). \tag{2.3}$$

is well defined. Let P be a polynomially bounded ambiguity function of H with respect to Y, let $M \subset H$ be the finite set of connecting pieces and let $\Phi : H \times H \to H$ be the concatenation map corresponding to P. We set $\widehat{c} = \max_{h \in M} |h|_Y$. By restricting Φ to subsets of the form $B_H^Y(m) \times B_H^Y(n)$, we obtain the maps

$$\Phi_{m,n} : B_H^Y(m) \times B_H^Y(n) \to B_H^Y(m+n+\widehat{c}) \ (u,v) \mapsto \Phi((u,v)) = u g_{u,v} v \tag{2.4}$$

such that $g_{u,v} \in M$ and $|\Phi_{m,n}^{-1}(z)| \leqslant P(n)$ for every $z \in B_H^Y(m+n+\widehat{c})$ and every $(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. In particular we get

$$|\Phi_{f(m),f(n)}^{-1}(z)| \leqslant P(f(n)) \tag{2.5}$$

for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $z \in B_H^Y(f(m)+f(n)+\widehat{c})$. By combining (2.3) and (2.5) we note that the cardinality of every preimage $\Psi_{m,n}^{-1}(z)$ of

$$\Psi_{m,n} := \Phi_{f(m),f(n)} \circ \iota : B_H^X(m) \times B_H^X(n) \to B_H^Y(f(m)+f(n)+\widehat{c}) \tag{2.6}$$

is bounded by $P(f(n))$. Now let $c = \max_{h \in M} |h|_X$ be the diameter of M in $\Gamma(G,X)$. The definition of Φ immediately implies that

$$im(\Psi_{m,n}) \subset B_H^X(m+n+c). \tag{2.7}$$

Thus the cardinality of the preimage of an arbitrary element with respect to the map

$$\Psi_{m,n} : B_H^X(m) \times B_H^X(n) \to B_H^X(m+n+c) \tag{2.8}$$

is bounded by the function $\varepsilon(n) := P \circ f(n)$. Due to Remark 2.6 this function is subexponential and the desired inequalities follow with the help of Remark 2.5. □

If the distortion function f is polynomial the ambiguity function g is subexponential, then the composition $\varepsilon = g \circ f$ does not have to be subexponential. Just consider the case of $g(n) = 2^{\sqrt{n}}$ and $f(n) = n^2$.

In the case that the subgroup H is undistorted, we get the following analogue of Proposition 2.8.

Proposition 2.9. *Let G be a finitely generated group and let $H \leqslant G$ be a finitely generated, undistorted subgroup of G. If H possesses a subexponential ambiguity function, then there exists a subexponential function $\varepsilon : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ and a constant $c \geqslant 0$ such that*

$$\beta_H^X(m)\beta_H^X(n) \leqslant \varepsilon(n) \cdot \beta_H^X(m+n+c) \quad \textit{for all } m, n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.9}$$

The proof is essentially the same as the proof of Proposition 2.8. One only has to replace Remark 2.6 by Remark 2.7 in the argumentation.

The following lemma provides us with an alternative definition of a subexponential function which will be easier to apply for our purposes.

Lemma 2.10. *A function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is subexponential if and only if*

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f(n)^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1. \tag{2.10}$$

Proof. First we assume that f is subexponential. Then for every $a > 1$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $\frac{f(n)}{a^n} \leqslant \varepsilon$ for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Due to $\lim_{n\to\infty} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1$ we therefore obtain $f(n)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leqslant (a^n\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since we can chose $a > 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrary close to 1 and 0, respectively, we get $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(n)^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1$.

Now we assume $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(n)^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1$. Thus, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $f(n) \leqslant (1+\varepsilon)^n$ for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\delta > 0$ and $a = 1 + \delta > 1$. By setting $\varepsilon = \frac{\delta}{2}$ we obtain

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(n)}{a^n} \leqslant \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{(1+\varepsilon)^n}{a^n} = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \Big(\frac{1+\frac{\delta}{2}}{1+\delta}\Big)^n = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

Since $f(n) > 0$ we get $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(n)}{a^n} = 0$. □

The crucial analytical ingredient for the proof of the existence of some relative exponential growth rates is provided by the following proposition. This is essentially the analytical part of the proof of Lemma 1.6 in [Ols13]. For convenience we shall include a proof here.

Proposition 2.11. *Let $B, C > 0$ be two real numbers, $\varepsilon : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ a subexponential function and $l : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ a sublinear function. If $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is a monotonically increasing function with the properties*

1) *$f(m)f(n) \leqslant \varepsilon(n) f(m + n + l(n))$ for all $n \geqslant C$ and all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and*
2) *$1 \leqslant f(n) \leqslant B^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

then the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(n)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ exists.

Proof. First we see that $\limsup_{n\to\infty} f(n)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ exists due to the second property of f. Without loss of generality we may assume that $a := \limsup_{n\to\infty} f(n)^{\frac{1}{n}} > 1$. Indeed, since $f(n) \geqslant 1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we would obtain

$$1 \leqslant \liminf_{n\to\infty} f(n)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leqslant \limsup_{n\to\infty} f(n)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leqslant 1 \tag{2.12}$$

otherwise. Let $\delta \in (0, a-1)$ and let $a_n := f(n)^{\frac{1}{n}}$. With Lemma 2.10 we get $\lim_{s\to\infty} \varepsilon(s)^{\frac{1}{s}} = 1$. Thus we obtain $\lim_{s\to\infty} \varepsilon(s)^{\frac{-1}{s}} = 1$. Since l is sublinear, we further get

$$\lim_{s\to\infty} \frac{s + l(s)}{s} = \lim_{s\to\infty} \frac{s}{s} + \frac{l(s)}{s} = \lim_{s\to\infty} 1 + 0 = 1. \tag{2.13}$$

So there is a natural number $s \geqslant C$ such that

$$|a_s \varepsilon(s)^{\frac{-1}{s}} - a| \leqslant \frac{\delta}{3} \text{ and } \Big(a - \frac{2\delta}{3}\Big)^{\frac{s+l(s)}{s}} < a - \frac{\delta}{3}. \tag{2.14}$$

In the following, a natural number n will be written an $n = q(s + l(s)) + r$ with $r < s + l(s)$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$. Since f is monotonically increasing and

$$\Big(a - \frac{2\delta}{3}\Big)^{n-s-l(s)} > (a-\delta)^n \tag{2.15}$$

holds for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &\geqslant f(q(s+l(s))) &&\geqslant \varepsilon(s)^{-1} f(s) f((q-1)(s+l(s))) \\ &\geqslant \dots &&\geqslant \varepsilon(s)^{-q+1} f(s)^{q-1} f(s+l(s)) \\ &\geqslant \varepsilon(s)^{-q} f(s)^q. \end{aligned}$$

The definition $a_n = f(n)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ gives us $\varepsilon(s)^{-q} f(s)^q = (\varepsilon(s)^{\frac{-1}{s}} a_s)^{sq}$. In view of the inequalities above this gives us

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &\geqslant \left(a_s \varepsilon(s)^{\frac{-1}{s}}\right)^{sq} &&\geqslant \left(a - \frac{\delta}{3}\right)^{sq} &&\geqslant \left(a - \frac{2\delta}{3}\right)^{(s+l(s))q} \\ &= \left(a - \frac{2\delta}{3}\right)^{n-r} &&> \left(a - \frac{2\delta}{3}\right)^{n-s-l(s)} &&> (a-\delta)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Altogether we obtain $a_n > a - \delta$ for almost every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since δ was chosen arbitrarily small, the claim follows. □

Due to Proposition 2.11 and the two Propositions 2.8 and 2.9 we get immediately the two following corollaries, which we use later to show the existence of some relative exponential growth rates.

Corollary 2.12. *Let G be a finitely generated group and $H \leqslant G$ a finitely generated, subexponentially distorted subgroup of G. If H possesses a polynomially bounded ambiguity function, then the relative exponential growth rate of H in G exists with respect to every finite generating set of G.*

It would be interesting to know, whether there is an undistorted subgroup H of a finitely generated group G such that the relative exponential growth rate of H in G does not exist with respect to some finite generating set of G. The following corollary gives an obstruction to this case.

Corollary 2.13. *Let G be a finitely generated group and $H \leqslant G$ a finitely generated undistorted subgroup of G. If H possesses a subexponential ambiguity function, then the relative exponential growth rate of H in G exists with respect to every finite generating set of G.*

3. Hyperbolic spaces

In this section we summarize some properties of hyperbolic metric spaces. We follow the book of Bridson and Haefliger [BH99, III.H]. First we recall the definition of Gromov product.

Definition 3.1. Let (Ω, d) be a metric space and let $o, x, y \in \Omega$ be arbitrary points of Ω. The *Gromov product* of x and y at o is defined by

$$(x.y)_o = \frac{1}{2}(d(x,o) + d(y,o) - d(x,y)). \tag{3.1}$$

Definition 3.2 (Gromov)**.** A metric space (Ω, d) is called *δ-hyperbolic* for some constant $\delta \geqslant 0$ if all points $o, x, y, z \in \Omega$ satisfy

$$(x.y)_o \geqslant \min((x.z)_o, (z.y)_o) - \delta. \tag{3.2}$$

Further (Ω, d) is said to be *hyperbolic* if (Ω, d) is δ-hyperbolic for some δ. In the following, the condition (3.2) will be referred to as the *Gromov condition*.

Definition 3.3. Let (Ω, d) be a metric space and $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ an (not necessary bounded) interval. Let $\lambda \geqslant 1$ and $\varepsilon \geqslant 0$ be some constants. A map $c : I \to \Omega$ is called (λ, ε)-*quasigeodesic*, if

$$\frac{1}{\lambda}|t' - t| - \varepsilon \leqslant d(c(t'), c(t)) \leqslant \lambda|t' - t| + \varepsilon \tag{3.3}$$

for every $t', t \in I$. We can replace I by $I \cap \mathbb{Z}$ to get a discrete version of a (λ, ε)-quasigeodesic which will be used later.

Definition 3.4. Let (Ω, d) be a metric space. For any subset $X \subset \Omega$ and any number $\varepsilon > 0$ we denote by $V_\varepsilon(X)$ the ε-neighbourhood of X in Ω. Given two subsets $A, B \subset \Omega$, the *Hausdorff-distance* between them is defined by

$$\mathbf{Hd}(A, B) := \inf\{\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0} \mid A \subset V_\varepsilon(B),\ B \subset V_\varepsilon(A)\}. \tag{3.4}$$

Although geodesics in hyperbolic spaces are not uniquely determined by their endpoints in general, we will denote a geodesic segment connecting to points x, y of a hyperbolic space (Ω, d) by $[x, y]$. The following proposition gives us a legitimization for that.

Proposition 3.5. [BH99, III.H.1.7] *For all $\delta > 0$, $\lambda \geqslant 1$ and $\varepsilon \geqslant 0$ there is a constant $K = K(\delta, \lambda, \varepsilon)$ with the following property: Let (Ω, d) be a δ-hyperbolic space and let c be a finite (λ, ε)-quasigeodesic in Ω. Let $[p, q]$ be a geodesic segment connecting the endpoints of c. Then the Hausdorff-distance between $[p, q]$ and the image of c is bounded by K.*

Using this result, it is not hard do derive the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.6. *Let (Ω, d) be a δ-hyperbolic space and let $\gamma : [a, b] \to \Omega$ be a (λ, ε)-quasigeodesic. Then for every $m \in [a, b]$ the Gromov product $(\gamma(a).\gamma(b))_{\gamma(m)}$ is bounded by $K(\delta, \lambda, \varepsilon)$.*

Lemma 3.7. *Let (Ω, d) be a δ-hyperbolic space and let $x, y, z, w \in \Omega$ such that*

$$(x.z)_y \leqslant C_1,\ (y.w)_z \leqslant C_2 \text{ and } N := d(y, z) > C_1 + C_2 + \delta. \tag{3.5}$$

Then every geodesic $[x, w]$ runs through the $(2C_1 + 5\delta + 1)$-neighbourhood of y.

4. Acylindrically hyperbolic groups

In this chapter we recall some known results on acylindrically hyperbolic groups which will be used in the next section.

Definition 4.1. Let G be a group which acts by isometries on a metric space (Ω, d). The action is called *acylindrical*, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there are constants $R, N > 0$ such that for every two points $x, y \in \Omega$ with $d(x, y) \geqslant R$, there are at most N elements $g \in G$ such that $d(x, gx) \leqslant \varepsilon$ and $d(y, gy) \leqslant \varepsilon$.

Remark 4.2. Let G be a group which acts acylindrically on a metric space (Ω, d). Then the induced action of every subgroup $K \leqslant G$ on Ω is acylindrical.

Definition 4.3. Let G be a group which acts on a hyperbolic space (Ω, d). An element $g \in G$ is called

- *elliptic*, if the set $\{g^n x \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is bounded for some (equivalently, any) $x \in \Omega$.

- *loxodromic*, if the map $\mathbb{Z} \to \Omega$, $n \mapsto g^n x$ is a quasigeodesic for some (equivalently, any) $x \in \Omega$.

Recall that two loxodromic elements are *independent* if their fixed point sets in the Gromov boundary $\partial\Omega$ of Ω are disjoint. Osin [Osi16, Theorem 1.1] classifies acylindric actions on hyperbolic spaces as follows:

Theorem 4.4. *Let G be a group which acts acylindrically on a hyperbolic space (Ω, d). Then exactly one of the following statements is true:*

1) *G has bounded orbits.*
2) *G is virtually cyclic and contains a loxodromic element.*
3) *G contains infinitely many independent loxodromic elements.*

Definition 4.5. A group G is called *acylindrically hyperbolic* if G acts acylindrically on a hyperbolic space (Ω, d) such that G contains infinitely many independent loxodromic elements.

The following theorem is a part of a result obtained by Osin [Osi16, Theorem 1.4].

Theorem 4.6. *Let G be a group and let $g \in G$ be an arbitrary element. The following conditions are equivalent.*

1) *There exists a (possibly infinite) generating set X of G such that the corresponding Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, X)$ is hyperbolic, the natural action of G on $\Gamma(G, X)$ is acylindrical and g is loxodromic.*
2) *There exists an acylindrical action of G on a hyperbolic space (Ω, d) such that g is loxodromic.*

In general in may happen that there are two non elementary acylindrical actions of a group G on two hyperbolic spaces such that an element $g \in G$ is loxodromic for exactly one of these actions. For our purposes we need an element which is loxodromic for some action. Such elements are called generalized loxodromic, see [Osi16, Definition 6.4].

Definition 4.7. Let G be an arbitrary group. An element $g \in G$ is called *generalized loxodromic*, if there is an acylindrical action of G on a hyperbolic space (Ω, d), such that $g \in G$ is a loxodromic element for this action.

5. Existence of the growth rate

We start with the following simple observations which follow right from the definitions.

Lemma 5.1. *Let G be a group which acts by isometries on a metric space (Ω, d). Then for every $g \in G$ and every $x, y, z \in \Omega$ we get*

$$(x.y)_z = (gx.gy)_{gz}. \tag{5.1}$$

Lemma 5.2. *Let G be a group with a generating set Y such that $\Gamma(G, Y)$ is hyperbolic and the canonical action of G on $\Gamma(G, Y)$ is acylindrical. Let $g, h \in G$ be two independent loxodromic elements. Then there is a constant $C \geqslant 0$, such that for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and every two elements $x, y \in \{g^n, g^{-n}, h^n, h^{-n}\}$ with $x \neq y^{\pm 1}$ the inequality $(x.y)_1 \leqslant C$ holds.*

Lemma 5.3. *Let G be a group with a generating set Y such that $\Gamma(G,Y)$ is hyperbolic and the canonical action of G on $\Gamma(G,Y)$ is acylindrical. Let $g,h\in G$ be two independent loxodromic elements. Then there is a constant $A\geqslant 0$ such that for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and every pair of elements $(u,v)\in G\times G$ there is at least one $x_{u,v}\in\{g^n,g^{-n},h^n,h^{-n}\}$ such that*

$$(u^{-1}.x_{u,v})_1\leqslant A \text{ and } (v.x_{u,v}^{-1})_1\leqslant A. \tag{5.2}$$

Proof. First we observe that there is a constant C_0 such that

$$\min\{(g^n.u)_1,(g^{-n}.u)_1\}\leqslant C_0 \text{ and } \min\{(h^n.u)_1,(h^{-n}.u)_1\}\leqslant C_0 \tag{5.3}$$

for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and every $u\in G$.

Indeed, since the maps $n\mapsto h^n$ and $n\mapsto g^n$ are quasiisometric embeddings of $\mathbb{Z}$ into G, Lemma 3.6 implies that there is a constant C_1 such that $(g^{-n}.g^n)_1,(h^{-n}.h^n)_1\leqslant C_1$ for every $n\in\mathbb{Z}$. The Gromov condition implies

$$C_1\geqslant (h^{-n}.h^n)_1\geqslant \min\{(h^{-n}.u)_1,(u.h^n)_1\}-\delta. \tag{5.4}$$

Thus the claim follows by setting $C_0:=C_1+\delta$.

Next, there is a constant $C_2\geqslant 0$, such that for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and every $u\in G$ at least one of the following statements holds.

1) $(g^n.u)_1\leqslant C_2$ and $(g^{-n}.u)_1\leqslant C_2$.
2) $(h^n.u)_1\leqslant C_2$ and $(h^{-n}.u)_1\leqslant C_2$.

Indeed, due to Lemma 5.2 there is a constant $C_3\geqslant 0$ such that the Gromov product $(x.y)_1$ of two elements $x,y\in\{g^n,g^{-n},h^n,h^{-n}\}$ with $x\neq y^{\pm 1}$ is bounded by C_3. The Gromov condition gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
C_3&\geqslant (g^n.h^n)_1\geqslant \min\{(g^n.u)_1,(u.h^n)_1\}-\delta,\\
C_3&\geqslant (g^{-n}.h^n)_1\geqslant \min\{(g^{-n}.u)_1,(u.h^n)_1\}-\delta,\\
C_3&\geqslant (g^{n}.h^{-n})_1\geqslant \min\{(g^{n}.u)_1,(u.h^{-n})_1\}-\delta,\\
C_3&\geqslant (g^{-n}.h^{-n})_1\geqslant \min\{(g^{-n}.u)_1,(u.h^{-n})_1\}-\delta.
\end{aligned}$$

If $(g^n.u)_1>C_3+\delta$ or $(g^{-n}.u)_1>C_3+\delta$ we thus obtain

$$C_3+\delta\geqslant (h^n.u)_1 \text{ and } C_3+\delta\geqslant (h^{-n}.u)_1. \tag{5.5}$$

In the same way if $(h^n.u)_1>C_3+\delta$ or $(h^{-n}.u)_1>C_3+\delta$ we obtain

$$C_3+\delta\geqslant (g^n.u)_1 \text{ and } C_3+\delta\geqslant (k^{-n}.u)_1. \tag{5.6}$$

The claim now follows by setting $C_2:=C_3+\delta$.

To prove the lemma, let $u^{-1},v\in G$ be arbitrary. Then, on the one hand there is an element $x\in\{g^n,g^{-n},h^n,h^{-n}\}$ such that

$$(x.u^{-1})_1\leqslant C_2 \text{ and } (x^{-1}.u^{-1})_1\leqslant C_2. \tag{5.7}$$

And on the other hand we have $\min\{(x.v)_1,(x^{-1}.v)_1\}\leqslant C_0$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $(x^{-1}.v)_1\leqslant C_0$. Then the statement of the lemma follows by setting $A:=\max\{C_0,C_2\}$ and $x_{u,v}:=x$. □

We are now ready to define the concatenation map and the set of connecting pieces corresponding to the predicted linear ambiguity function for acylindrically hyperbolic groups.

Definition 5.4. Let G be a finitely generated group with a finite generating set X and a generating set Y such that $\Gamma(G,Y)$ is hyperbolic and the canonical action of G on $\Gamma(G,Y)$ is acylindrical. Let g and h be two independent loxodromic elements and let

$$c_n := \max\{|g^n|_X, |g^{-n}|_X, |h^n|_X, |h^{-n}|_X\} \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{5.8}$$

Due to Lemma 5.3 there exists a constant A such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a map

$$\Phi_n : G \times G \to G,\ (u,v) \mapsto ux_{u,v}v \tag{5.9}$$

with $x_{u,v} \in \{g^n, g^{-n}, h^n, h^{-n}\}$ and $\max\{(u^{-1}.x_{u,v})_1, (v.x_{u,v}^{-1})_1\} \leqslant A$ for every pair of elements $(u,v) \in G \times G$. By restriction to subsets of the form $B_G^X(s) \times B_G^X(t)$ we obtain well defined maps

$$B_G^X(s) \times B_G^X(t) \to B_G^X(s+t+c_n),\ (u,v) \mapsto ux_{u,v}v. \tag{5.10}$$

To keep the notation simple, these restrictions are also denoted by Φ_n.

The construction of Φ_n depends on some specific loxodromic elements g and h. If it is clear from the context to which elements Φ_n corresponds to, we will not mention this correspondence explicitly.

Proposition 5.5. *Let G be a group with a generating set Y such that $\Gamma(G,Y)$ is hyperbolic and the canonical action of G on $\Gamma(G,Y)$ is acylindrical. Let $g,h \in G$ be two independent loxodromic elements. Then there are two constants $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon \geqslant 0$ such that for every natural number $n \geqslant N$ every geodesic $[1, \Phi_n(u,v)] \subset \Gamma(G,Y)$ runs through the ε-neighbourhoods of u and $ux_{u,v}$ with respect to d_Y.*

Proof. We want to apply Lemma 3.7 on the points $1, u, ux_{u,v}$ and $ux_{u,v}v$. Let A be the constant from definition 5.4. Then Lemma 5.1 implies $(1.ux_{u,v})_u = (u^{-1}.x_{u,v})_1 \leqslant A$ and

$$\begin{aligned}(u.ux_{u,v}v)_{ux_{u,v}} &= ((ux_{u,v})^{-1}u.(ux_{u,v})^{-1}ux_{u,v}v)_{(ux_{u,v})^{-1}ux_{u,v}} \\ &= ((x_{u,v})^{-1}.v)_1 \leqslant A.\end{aligned}$$

Since g and h are loxodromic, we can choose N big enough to satisfy

$$\min\{|g^n|_Y, |g^{-n}|_Y, |h^n|_Y, |h^{-n}|_Y\} > 2A + \delta \tag{5.11}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $|n| \geqslant N$. Thus we obtain

$$d_Y(u, ux_{u,v}) = |x_{u,v}|_Y > 2A + \delta. \tag{5.12}$$

Setting $\varepsilon = 2A + 5\delta + 1$, Lemma 3.7 implies that every geodesic $[1, ux_{u,v}v]$ runs through the ε-neighbourhood of u. Symmetric argumentation shows that every geodesic $[ux_{u,v}v, 1]$ runs through the ε-neighbourhood of $ux_{u,v}$. □

The following lemma implies in particular that finite changes of generating sets X and Y of a group G don't affect the acylindricity of the action of G on its Cayley graph with respect to X and Y.

Lemma 5.6. [Osi16, Lemma 5.1] *For any group G and any generating sets X and Y of G such that*

$$\sup_{x \in X} |x|_Y < \infty \text{ and } \sup_{y \in Y} |y|_X < \infty, \tag{5.13}$$

the following hold.

1) $\Gamma(G,X)$ *is hyperbolic if and only if* $\Gamma(G,Y)$ *is hyperbolic.*
2) G *acts acylindrically on* $\Gamma(G,X)$ *if and only if* G *acts acylindrically on* $\Gamma(G,Y)$.

Proposition 5.7. *Let G be a finitely generated group with a finite generating set X and a generating set Y such that $\Gamma(G,Y)$ is hyperbolic and the canonical action of G on $\Gamma(G,Y)$ is acylindrical. Let g and h be two independent loxodromic elements. Then there is a number n and a linearly bounded function $l_n : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that for every pair of natural numbers $(s,t) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ and every element $w \in B_G^X(s+t+c_n)$, the cardinality of the preimage of w with respect to the concatenation map*

$$\Phi_n : B_G^X(s) \times B_G^X(t) \to B_G^X(s+t+c_n) \tag{5.14}$$

is bounded by $l_n(t)$.

Proof. Due to Lemma 5.6 we can assume that X is a subset of Y. Further Proposition 5.5 provides us with a number $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and a constant $\varepsilon > 0$, such that for every number $n \geqslant N$ and every pair of elements $(u,v) \in G \times G$, every geodesic $[1, \Phi_n(u,v)]$ runs through the ε-neighbourhoods of the elements u and $ux_{u,v}$ with respect to d_Y.

Since the action of G on $\Gamma(G,Y)$ is acylindrical, we can choose positive constants R and L such that for every two points $x, y \in \Gamma(G,Y)$ with $d_Y(x,y) \geqslant R$ there are at most L elements $g \in G$ satisfying the inequalities $d_Y(x,gx) \leqslant 6\varepsilon$ and $d_Y(y,gy) \leqslant 6\varepsilon$. The definition of a loxodromic element implies immediately that there is a number $\Theta \geqslant N$ such that for every number $n \geqslant \Theta$, and every $x \in M_n = \{g^n, g^{-n}, h^n, h^{-n}\}$ we have $|x|_Y \geqslant R$. From now on we fix such a number $n \geqslant \Theta$. Let $s,t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w \in B_G^X(s+t+c_n)$. We want to bound the cardinality of the set of all pairs $(u,v) \in B_G^X(s) \times B_G^X(t)$ which satisfy the equation $\Phi_n(u,v) = w$. For this we fix a geodesic $[1,w]$ in $\Gamma(G,Y)$.

Let $u \in B_G^X(s)$ be an element such that there exists an element $v \in B_G^X(t)$ with the property $\Phi_n(u,v) = w$. Then there are elements z and ζ on the geodesic $[1, \Phi_n(u,v)] = [1,w]$ such that $d_Y(u,z) \leqslant \varepsilon$ and $d_Y(ux_{u,v}, \zeta) \leqslant \varepsilon$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_Y(u,w) &= d_Y(u, \Phi_n(u,v)) = d_Y(u, ux_{u,v}v) \\ &= d_Y(1, x_{u,v}v) \leqslant d_X(1, x_{u,v}) + d_X(1,v) \\ &\leqslant t + c_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$d_Y(z,w) \leqslant d_Y(z,u) + d_Y(u,w) \leqslant \varepsilon + t + c_n. \tag{5.15}$$

So there are at most $\varepsilon + t + c_n$ points z on the fixed geodesic $[1,w]$ with the property $d_Y(u,z) \leqslant \varepsilon$. Now let $z \in G$ be an arbitrary point on the geodesic $[1,w]$. We want to estimate the cardinality of the set of elements $u \in B_G^X(s) \cap B_G^Y(z,\varepsilon)$ that satisfy the equation $\Phi_n(u,v) = w$ for some $v \in B_G^X(t)$.

To get a contradiction we assume that there are more than $4 \cdot L$ such elements u. By definition M_n contains 4 elements. Thus Dirichlet's box principle implies that there are $L+1$ pairs $(u_1,v_1), \dots, (u_{L+1}, v_{L+1}) \in B_G^X(s) \times B_G^X(t)$ satisfying $x_{u_i,v_i} = x_0 \in M_n$ and $\Phi_n(u_i,v_i) = w$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, L+1\}$. Let $i,j \in \{1, \dots, L+1\}$. Due to the triangle inequality we get the inequalities

$$d_Y(u_i,u_j) \leqslant d_Y(u_i,z) + d_Y(z,u_j) \leqslant 2\varepsilon.$$

We fix elements $\zeta_i, \zeta_j \in [1, w]$ such that $d_Y(\zeta_i, u_i x_0), d_Y(\zeta_j, u_j x_0) \leqslant \varepsilon$. Then

$$d_Y(z, \zeta_i) \leqslant d_Y(z, u_i) + d_Y(u_i, u_i x_0) + d_Y(u_i x_0, \zeta_i) \leqslant 2\varepsilon + |x_0|_Y$$

and

$$d_Y(z, \zeta_i) \geqslant d_Y(u_i, u_i x_0) - d_Y(z, u_i) - d_Y(u_i x_0, \zeta_i) \leqslant |x_0|_Y - 2\varepsilon.$$

Analogously we obtain

$$|x_0|_Y - 2\varepsilon \leqslant d_Y(z, \zeta_j) \leqslant |x_0|_Y + 2\varepsilon$$

Since ζ_i and ζ_j lie on the same geodesic $[1, w]$, we obtain $d_Y(\zeta_i, \zeta_j) \leqslant 4\varepsilon$. Finally this implies

$$d_Y(u_i x_0, u_j x_0) \leqslant d_Y(u_i x_0, \zeta_i) + d_Y(\zeta_i, \zeta_j) + d_Y(\zeta_j, u_j x_0) \leqslant 6\varepsilon.$$

By defining $\hat{u}_j := u_j^{-1} u_1$, the obtained inequalities can be rewritten as

$$d_Y(\hat{u}_j, 1) \leqslant 2\varepsilon \text{ and } d_Y(\hat{u}_j x_0, x_0) \leqslant 6\varepsilon$$

for every $j \in \{1, \dots, L+1\}$. This is a contradiction to the acylindricity of the action since $d_Y(1, x_0) \geqslant R$. So there are at most $4L \cdot (\varepsilon + t + c_n)$ elements $u \in B_G^X(s)$ which satisfy the equation $\Phi_n(u, v) = w$ for some appropriate elements $v \in B_G^X(t)$. Due to construction there are just 4 possible choices for $x_{u,v} \in M_n$. But if we fix u and $x_{u,v}$, there is at most one element $v \in B_G^X(t)$ satisfying $u x_{u,v} v = w$. Thus the cardinality of the preimage of an element of $B_G^X(s + t + c_n)$ with respect to Φ_n is bounded by $16L \cdot (\varepsilon + t + c_n)$. □

Together with Remark 2.5, Proposition 5.7 immediately implies the following corollary:

Corollary 5.8. *Let G be a finitely generated group with a finite generating set X and a generating set Y such that $\Gamma(G, Y)$ is hyperbolic and the canonical action of G on $\Gamma(G, Y)$ is acylindric. Let H be a subgroup of G which contains two independent loxodromic elements g and h. Then there is a constant c and a linearly bounded function $l : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that the inequality*

$$\beta_H^X(s) \cdot \beta_H^X(t) \leqslant l(t) \cdot \beta_H^X(s + t + c) \tag{5.16}$$

holds for all $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. By considering Proposition 5.7, one only has to notice that Φ_n restricts to $B_H^X(s) \times B_H^X(t) \to B_H^X(s + t + c_n)$ since $g, h \in H$. □

Theorem 5.9. *Let G be a finitely generated group and $H \leqslant G$ a subgroup of G. If H contains a generalized loxodromic element $h \in H$, then the relative exponential growth rate of H in G exists with respect to every finite generating set of G.*

Proof. First Theorem 4.6 gives us a generating set Y of G such that $\Gamma(G, Y)$ is hyperbolic and the canonical action of G on $\Gamma(G, Y)$ is acylindrical and h is a loxodromic element for this action. Then Theorem 4.4 implies that there are two cases to consider for H. Either H is virtually cyclic or H contains infinitely many independent loxodromic elements. In the first case H is quasiisometrically embedded in $\Gamma(G, Y)$. In particular H is quasiisometrically embedded in $\Gamma(G, X)$ and there is a constant $c > 0$ such that $\beta_H^X(n) \leqslant c \cdot n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus we see immediately that the limit $\lim_{n \to \infty} \beta_H^X(n)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ exists and is equal to 1. For the second case we can

choose a finite generating set X of G. Then we can apply Corollary 5.8 and we get the inequality

$$\beta_H^X(m) \cdot \beta_H^X(n) \leqslant l(n) \cdot \beta_H^X(m+n+c) \tag{5.17}$$

for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now the statement follows from Proposition 2.11. □

In the same way we get the following invariant of acylindrically hyperbolic groups.

Theorem 5.10. *Let G be a finitely generated acylindrically hyperbolic group. Then G possesses a linearly bounded ambiguity function with respect to every finite generating set of G.*

The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.10 and Corollary 2.12.

Theorem 5.11. *Let H be a finitely generated acylindrically hyperbolic group. Let $\iota : H \to G$ be a subexponentially distorted embedding in a finitely generated group G. Then the relative exponential growth rate of H in G exists with respect to every finite generating set of G.*

6. The case of products

In this section we shall see that the relative exponential growth rate of a subgroup of a direct product of finitely generated acylindrically hyperbolic groups exists if the projection of the subgroup on each coordinate contains a generalized loxodromic element. First we shall introduce some notation, which we will use throughout this section.

Notation. To each element i of an indexset $I = \{1, \dots, m\}$ we associate a finitely generated group G_i and a finite generating set X_i for G_i. For a subset $J \subset I$ we define

$$\iota_J : \prod_{j \in J} G_j \to \prod_{i \in I} G_i \text{ and } \psi_J : \prod_{i \in I} G_i \to \prod_{j \in J} G_j$$

canonically. We will write $\iota_i := \iota_{\{i\}}$ and $\psi_i := \psi_{\{i\}}$.

Remark 6.1. Let $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} \iota_i(X_i)$. Then $|(g_1, \dots, g_m)|_X = \sum_{i=1}^{m} |g_i|_{X_i}$.

The following definition is a relative analogue of the ordinary ambiguity function.

Definition 6.2. Let G be a finitely generated group with a finite generating set X. Let $H \leqslant G$ be an arbitrary subgroup. A function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is a *relative ambiguity function* of H in G with respect to X, if there is a finite subset $M \subset H$ and a map of the form

$$\Phi : H \times H \to H,\ (u, v) \mapsto u g_{u,v} v \tag{6.1}$$

with $g_{u,v} \in M$ such that by setting $c := \max_{g \in M} |g|_X$ the following property is satisfied.

- For all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all restrictions

$$\Phi_{m,n} : B_H^X(m) \times B_H^X(n) \to B_H^X(m+n+c),\ (u, v) \mapsto \Phi((u, v)), \tag{6.2}$$

the cardinality of every preimage $\Phi_{m,n}^{-1}(z)$ with $z \in B_H^X(m+n+c)$ is bounded by $f(n)$.

In the following we will refer to M as the set of *connecting pieces* and to Φ as the *concatenation map* corresponding to f.

Lemma 6.3. *Let G be a finitely generated group with a finite generating set X. Let $H, K \leqslant G$ be subgroups such that there is an epimorphism $\psi : H \to K$ satisfying $|\psi(h)|_X \leqslant |h|_X$ for every $h \in H$. If $\beta^X_{ker(\psi)}$ is a subexponential function and K possesses a subexponential relative ambiguity function ε with respect to X, then H possesses a subexponential relative ambiguity function with respect to X.*

Proof. Let M_K be the set of connecting pieces corresponding to ε. For each $x \in M_K$ we pic a preimage x' of x with respect to ψ. We will show that the set $M_H := \bigcup_{x \in M_K} \{x'\}$ gives rise to a subexponential relative ambiguity function δ for H. To do so, we define the concatenation map for H by

$$\Phi_H : H \times H \to H,\ (u, v) \mapsto u x'_{u,v} v,$$

where $x'_{u,v}$ is the chosen preimage of $x_{\psi(u),\psi(v)} \in M_K$. As in the previous sections, the element $x_{\psi(u),\psi(v)}$ is the connecting piece defined by the (relative) ambiguity function

$$\Phi_K : K \times K \to K,\ (u, v) \mapsto u x_{u,v} v$$

corresponding to ε. Let $c_H := \max_{g \in M_H} |g|_X$. As in the non relative case, we shall denote the restrictions

$$B^X_H(s) \times B^X_H(t) \to B^X_H(s + t + c_H),\ (u, v) \mapsto u x'_{u,v} v$$

by Φ_K. We fix two numbers $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and an element $w \in B^X_H(s + t + c_H)$. We want to bound the number of elements $v \in B^X_H(t)$, such that there is an element $u \in B^X_H(s)$ satisfying $u x'_{u,v} v = w$. Let $N := ker(\psi)$. Due to our assumption, the set of distinct classes $[v] \in H/N$ satisfying $u x'_{u,v} v = w$ for some $u \in B^X_H(s)$ and a representative element $v \in B^X_H(t)$ is bounded by $\varepsilon(t)$. This is because distinct classes vN are associated to distinct solutions $\psi(u) x_{\psi(u),\psi(v)} \psi(v) = \psi(w)$ with $\psi(v) \in B^X_H(t)$. Indeed, due to our assumption we have $|\psi(v)|_X \leqslant |v|_X \leqslant t$. Now we fix an element $v \in B^X_H(t)$. We shall bound the number of elements $\hat{v} \in B^X_H(t)$ representing v modulo N. Every such $\hat{v}$ has the form $\hat{v} = vg \in B^X_H(t)$ for some $g \in N$. Thus we have to bound the cardinality of the set $N \cap v^{-1} B^X_H(t)$. Since $|v|_X \leqslant t$ we have $v^{-1} B^X_H(t) \subset B^X_H(2t)$ and thus $|N \cap v^{-1} B^X_H(t)| \leqslant \beta^X_N(2t)$. It is easy to verify that $t \mapsto \beta^X_N(2t)$ is a subexponential function since β^X_N is a subexponential function. Putting these bounds together, we see that the set of elements $v \in B^X_H(t)$ satisfying $u x'_{u,v} v$ for some $u \in B^X_H(s)$ is bounded by $\varepsilon(t)\beta^X_N(2t)$, which is easily seen to grow subexponentially with respect to t. □

Lemma 6.4. *Let $I = \{1, \dots, m\}$ be a finite set of indices. To each $i \in I$ we associate a group G_i and a generating set Y_i such that $\Gamma(G_i, Y_i)$ is hyperbolic and G_i acts acylindrically on $\Gamma(G_i, Y_i)$. Let $H \leqslant \prod_{i \in I} G_i$ be a subgroup, such that $\psi_i(H) \leqslant G_i$ contains a loxodromic element h_i for each $i \in I$. Then there is a decomposition of I into disjoint subsets I_1 and I_2, such that the following properties are satisfied.*

1) *The kernel of the restricted projection $\psi_{I_1|H}$ is finite.*
2) *For every index $i \in I_1$ there is a loxodromic element $g_i \in G_i$ such that H contains an element of the form $(g_1, \dots, g_m)$ with $g_j = 1$ for $j \in I_1 \backslash \{i\}$.*

Proof. Let $I_1 \subset I$ be a minimal subset such that $\psi_{I_1|H}$ has a finite kernel. Thus, if we take $i \in I_1$, the kernel of $\psi_{I_1\backslash\{i\}|H}$ has to be infinite. This implies that there is an infinite subset $A_i \subset G_i$ with the following property. For every element $h \in A_i$ there is an element of the form $(g_1, \dots, g_m) \in H$ with $g_j = 1$ for $j \in I_1\backslash\{i\}$ and $g_i = h$. Due to our assumption there is an element $(h_1, \dots, h_m) \in H$ such that h_i is loxodromic. We note that

$$(h_1^n, \dots, h_m^n)(g_1, \dots, g_m)(h_1^{-n}, \dots, h_m^{-n}) =: (k_1, \dots, k_m) \in H$$

satisfies $k_j = 1$ for $j \in I_1\backslash\{i\}$ and $k_i = h_i^n g_i h_i^{-n} = h_i^n h h_i^{-n}$. It remains to show that the set $\{h_i^n h h_i^{-n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N},\ h \in A_i\}$ contains a loxodromic element. Due to Theorem 4.4 this is equivalent to show that there is no uniform bound $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $|h_i^n h h_i^{-n}|_Y \leqslant \varepsilon$ for every element $h \in A_i$ and every number $n \in \mathbb{N}$. To get a contradiction, we assume that there is such a bound ε. Since the action of G on $\Gamma(G, Y)$ is acylindrical there are constants $L, R > 0$ such that for every pair of elements $x, y \in G$ with $d_Y(x, y) \geqslant R$ we have

$$|\{g \in G \mid d_Y(x, gx) \leqslant \varepsilon \text{ and } d_Y(y, gy) \leqslant \varepsilon\}| \leqslant N.$$

Since h_i is loxodromic, we can choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ big enough to satisfy $|h_i^n|_Y \geqslant R$. Then, by setting $x = 1$ and $y = h_i^n$, we see that every element $h \in A_i$ satisfies

$$d_Y(1, h1) \leqslant \varepsilon \text{ and } d_Y(h_i^n, h h_i^n) \leqslant \varepsilon.$$

This is a contradiction since A_i is infinite. □

Proposition 6.5. *Let $I = \{1, \dots, m\}$ be a finite set of indices. To each $i \in I$ we associate a finitely generated group G_i and a generating set Y_i such that $\Gamma(G_i, Y_i)$ is hyperbolic and G_i acts acylindrically on $\Gamma(G_i, Y_i)$. Let $H \leqslant \prod_{i\in I} G_i =: G$ be a subgroup with the following property. For each $i \in I$ there are elements $g^{(i)} = (g_1^{(i)}, \dots, g_m^{(i)}) \in H$ and $h^{(i)} = (h_1^{(i)}, \dots, h_m^{(i)}) \in H$ such that $g_i^{(i)}$ and $h_i^{(i)}$ are independent loxodromic elements and $g_j^{(i)}, h_j^{(i)} = 1$ for $j \neq i$. Then the relative exponential growth rate of H in G exists with respect to every finite generating set of G.*

Proof. Let X be a finite generating set of G. Using Lemma 5.6 we may assume that Y_i contains $\psi_i(X) = X_i$ for each $i \in I$. We shall proceed with each factor G_i as in Proposition 5.7. To do so, we define

$$M_n = \{\prod_{i\in I} (g^{(i)})^{\varepsilon n} \mid \varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}\} \cup \{\prod_{i\in I} (h^{(i)})^{\varepsilon n} \mid \varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}\}$$

to be the set of connecting pieces and $c_n = \max_{z\in M_n} |z|_X$. For each $i \in I$ we define

$$\Phi_n^{(i)} : G_i \times G_i \to G_i,\ (u, v) \mapsto u x_{u,v}^{(i)} v$$

as in Definition 5.4. Thus, using Proposition 5.5 for large enough $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we see that there is a constant $\varepsilon \geqslant 0$ such that by defining

$$\Phi_n : G \times G \to G,\ ((u_i)_{i\in I}, (v_i)_{i\in I}) \mapsto (\Phi_n^{(i)}(u_i, v_i))_{i\in I} = (u_i x_{u,v}^{(i)} v_i)_{i\in I},$$

the projections of the geodesics

$$[1, \Phi_n((u_i)_{i\in I}, (v_i)_{i\in I})] \subset \Gamma(G, \bigcup_{i\in I} \iota_i(Y_i)) \text{ onto } [1, \Phi_n^{(i)}(u_i, v_i)] \subset \Gamma(G_i, Y_i)$$

run through the ε-neighbourhoods of u_i and $u_i x_{u_i,v_i}$ with respect to d_{Y_i}. Then, by the same arguments as in Proposition 5.7, we see that the set of possible choices for $u_i \in B^{X_i}_{G_i}(t) \subset B^{Y_i}_{G_i}(t)$ satisfying $\Phi^{(i)}_n(u_i, v_i) = w_i$ for a fixed $w_i \in B^{X_i}_{G_i}(s + t + c_n)$, is bounded by a linear function in t. Now let $w \in B^X_G(s + t + c_n)$ be fixed. Then we obviously have $|\psi_i(w)|_{X_i} \leqslant |w|_X$ and hence $w_i := \psi_i(w) \in B^{X_i}_{G_i}(s + t + c_n)$. Thus, the possible different images $u_i := \psi_i(u) \in B^{X_i}_{G_i}(s)$ for $u \in B^X_G(s)$ satisfying $\Phi^{(i)}_n(u_i, v_i) = w_i$ for some appropriate $v_i \in B^{X_i}_{G_i}(t)$ is bounded by a linear function l_i in t. Since u is uniquely determined by its images u_i and there is at most one element $v \in B^X_G(t)$ satisfying $ux_{u,v}v = w$ for fixed elements $u, x_{u,v}$ and w, wee see that the cardinality of the preimage of w with respect to the restriction

$$\Phi_n : B^X_H(s) \times B^X_H(t) \to B^X_H(s + t + c_n)$$

is bounded by

$$|M_n| \prod_{i\in I} l_i(t) = 2^{n+1} \prod_{i\in I} l_i(t).$$

This is a polynomial function of degree $|I|$. Using Remark 2.5, the claim follows by applying Proposition 2.11 on the relative growth function β^X_H. □

Theorem 6.6. *Let $G = \prod_{i=1}^{m} G_i$ be a finite direct product of finitely generated acylindrically hyperbolic groups G_i and let H be a subgroup of G. Suppose that, for each $i = 1, \dots, m$, the image of the canonical projection of H to G_i contains a generalized loxodromic in G_i. Then the relative exponential growth rate of H in G exists with respect to every finite generating set X of G.*

Proof. Let $I = \{1, \dots, m\}$ be the set of indices. It remains to reduce the general case to the case considered in Proposition 6.5. For this we choose generating sets Y_i for G_i such that $\Gamma(G_i, Y_i)$ is hyperbolic, G_i acts acylindrically on $\Gamma(G_i, Y_i)$ and h_i is a loxodromic element in $\psi_i(H)$. Indeed, this is possible due to Theorem 4.6. Further Lemma 6.4 provides us with a decomposition of the indexset I into two disjoint subsets I_1 and I_2 such that the kernel of the map $\psi_{I_1|H}$ is finite and for every index $i \in I_1$, there is a loxodromic element $g_i \in G_i$ such that H contains an element of the form $(h_1, \dots, h_m)$ with $h_j = 1$ for $j \in I_1\backslash\{i\}$ and $h_i = g_i$. Due to Lemma 6.3 we can restrict out attention to the case of $I = I_1$. We have to consider two cases. Since $\psi_i(H)$ contains a loxodromic element, Theorem 4.4 says that $\psi_i(H)$ is either virtually cyclic or it contains infinitely many independent loxodromix elements. Let $I = I_1 = J_1 \cup J_2$ be a disjoint decomposition such that $\psi_i(H)$ is virtually cyclic if $i \in J_1$, or contains infinitely many independent loxodromic elements if $i \in J_2$. In the case of $i \in J_1$, the virtually cyclic subgroup $\psi_i(H)$ contains a loxodromic element and hence it is quasiisometrically embedded in $\Gamma(G_i, X_i)$. From this, it is easily seen that $\psi_i(H)$ is also quasiisometrically embedded in $\Gamma(G, X)$ via ι_i. This provides us with a constant $C \geqslant 0$ such that $\beta^X_{\iota_i(\psi_i(H))}(t) \leqslant Ct$ for every $t \in \mathbb{N}$. We note that $N_i := ker(\psi_{I\backslash\{i\}|H})$ is a subgroup of $\iota_i(\psi_i(H))$ and hence $\beta^X_{N_i}(t) \leqslant Ct$ for every $t \in \mathbb{N}$. A further application of Lemma 6.3 reduces the problem to the case of $I = (J_1\backslash\{i\}) \cup J_2$. Now we see, that an inductive application of Lemma 6.3 reduces the general case to the case of $I = J_2$. But this case was already proven in Proposition 6.5. □

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank my PhD supervisor Prof. Oleg Bogopolski for guidance in writing this paper.

References

[BH99] Martin R Bridson and André Haefliger. *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, volume 319. Springer Science & Business Media, 1999.

[Gri80] Rostislav I Grigorchuk. Symmetrical random walks on discrete groups. *Adv. Probab. Related Topics*, 6:285–325, 1980.

[M$^+$68] John Milnor et al. A note on curvature and fundamental group. *Journal of Differential geometry*, 2(1):1–7, 1968.

[Ols13] A. Olshanskii. Subnormal subgroups in free groups, their growth and cogrowth. *ArXiv e-prints*, November 2013.

[Osi16] Denis Osin. Acylindrically hyperbolic groups. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 368(2):851–888, 2016.